From:

Meier, Stephen

Sent:

Wednesday, April 05, 2006 3:41 PM

To:

Shewareged, Betelhem

Subject:

RE: 10/789,963

I understand, but the primary invention appears to be in the composition. There's only nominal recitation of printing, and the printing is not of symbolic information.

----Original Message-----From:

Shewareged, Betelhem

Sent:

Wednesday, April 05, 2006 3:39 PM

To: Subject:

Meier, Stephen RE: 10/789,963

Would you take these claims if re-written in independent form? SPE from composition does not want to take them becasue claims contain apparatus and printing method.

-----Original Message-----

From: Meier, Stephen

Wednesday, April 05, 2006 3:10 PM Sent:

To:

Shewareged, Betelhem

**Subject:** RE: 10/789,963

Claims 20-21 and 36-41 appears proper for the composition...

Not 347 printing per se.

----Original Message----

From:

Shewareged, Betelhem

Sent:

Tuesday, April 04, 2006 10:20 AM

**To:** Meier, Stephen

Subject:

10/789,963

Would you check if claims 20 and 21 are best Examined in your AU?

Betelhem Shewareged
Patent Examiner (Primary)

571-272-1529

AU 1774

From:

Fiorilla, Chris

Sent:

Wednesday, April 05, 2006 3:15 PM

To:

Shewareged, Betelhem

Subject:

RE: 10/789,963

The claims drawn to the coating applicator are no more comprehensive than other claims in the case which are not 118 claims. Means for applying thermal energy is not necessarily a coating apparatus.

----Original Message----

From:

Shewareged, Betelhem

Sent:

Wednesday, April 05, 2006 3:08 PM

To:

Fiorilla, Chris

RE: 10/789,963 Subject:

Claims 14-19 (coating applicator) and 46, 47 (a means for applying thermal energy) are directed to apparatus that may be examined in class 118. Don't you think?

## Betelhem

----Original Message-----

From: Fiorilla, Chris

Wednesday, April 05, 2006 2:13 PM Sent:

Shewareged, Betelhem

**Subject:** RE: 10/789,963

I dont think it would be best examined by 118. The invention appears to be materials applied to a surface rather than the machine used to apply them.

----Original Message----

From:

Shewareged, Betelhem

Sent:

Tuesday, April 04, 2006 11:07 AM

To: Fiorilla, Chris

Subject:

FW: 10/789,963

Would you also check claims 42-47? They are directed to a means for forming a coating.

Thank you!

-----Original Message-----

From:

Shewareged, Betelhem

Sent:

Tuesday, April 04, 2006 10:13 AM

To: Fiorilla, Chris

Subject:

10/789,963

Would you check if claims 1-25 (specially 14-21) are best examined in your AU?

Betelhem Shewareged Patent Examiner (Primary)

571-272-1529

AU 1774

From:

Meier, Stephen

Sent:

Wednesday, April 05, 2006 3:10 PM

To:

Shewareged, Betelhem

Subject:

RE: 10/789,963

Claims 20-21 and 36-41 appears proper for the composition...

Not 347 printing per se.

----Original Message-----

From:

Shewareged, Betelhem

Sent:

Tuesday, April 04, 2006 10:20 AM

To:

Meier, Stephen

Subject:

10/789,963

Would you check if claims 20 and 21 are best Examined in your AU?

Thank You!

Betelhem Shewareged Patent Examiner (Primary)

571-272-1529 AU 1774

From:

Fiorilla, Chris

Sent:

Wednesday, April 05, 2006 2:13 PM

To:

Shewareged, Betelhem

Subject:

RE: 10/789,963

I dont think it would be best examined by 118. The invention appears to be materials applied to a surface rather than the machine used to apply them.

-----Original Message-----

From:

Shewareged, Betelhem

Sent:

Tuesday, April 04, 2006 11:07 AM

To: Subject: Fiorilla, Chris FW: 10/789,963

Would you also check claims 42-47? They are directed to a means for forming a coating.

Thank you!

----Original Message-----

From:

Shewareged, Betelhem

Sent:

Tuesday, April 04, 2006 10:13 AM

To:

Fiorilla, Chris

Subject:

10/789,963

Would you check if claims 1-25 (specially 14-21) are best examined in your AU?

Betelhem Shewareged
Patent Examiner (Primary)

571-272-1529 AU 1774

From:

Fiorilla, Chris

Sent:

Wednesday, April 05, 2006 12:24 PM

To:

Shewareged, Betelhem

Subject:

RE: 10/789,963

I got you message but have not yet had time to look at the case. I will get to it as soon as I can.

----Original Message-----

From:

Shewareged, Betelhem

Sent:

Wednesday, April 05, 2006 9:33 AM

To: Subject: Fiorilla, Chris FW: 10/789,963

Reminder! Thank You!

-----Original Message-----

From:

Shewareged, Betelhem

Sent:

Tuesday, April 04, 2006 11:07 AM

To:

Fiorilla, Chris

**Subject:** FW: 10/789,963

Would you also check claims 42-47? They are directed to a means for forming a coating.

Thank you!

----Original Message-----

From:

Shewareged, Betelhem

Sent:

Tuesday, April 04, 2006 10:13 AM

To:

Fiorilla, Chris

Subject:

10/789,963

Would you check if claims 1-25 (specially 14-21) are best examined in your AU?

Betelhem Shewareged
Patent Examiner (Primary)

571-272-1529

AU 1774